Catalyst Interfacial Transformations: Keys to Decipher the Oxygen Evolution Reaction <u>Emiliana Fabbri</u>

PSI Center for Energy and Environmental Sciences, Forschungsstrasse 111, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland emiliana.fabbri@psi.ch

The transition to a sustainable and environmentally friendly energy economy relies on efficient hydrogen production from renewable sources via electrolysis. In this context, significant efforts have recently focused on developing cost-effective and efficient electrocatalysts.

Understanding the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) mechanism on non-noble metal catalysts is of particular interest. However, the complexity of the reaction and system poses challenges in characterizing active sites and elucidating the reaction mechanism.¹ Notably, recent studies, including those from our group, have established that OER can involve lattice oxygen oxidation and extensive catalyst surface reconstruction. This was early demonstrated through operando Quick X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy (Quick-XAS) under real operating conditions and further corroborated by other key investigations.^{2,3}

Time-resolved Quick-XAS can provide dynamic snapshots of the electronic and local structure of nanocatalysts, revealing the "real active phase" of the catalyst, which may differ significantly from the asprepared catalyst powder or the catalyst in the form of an electrode under non-operating conditions.

In this contribution, different examples will be presented to show how operando Quick-XAS, coupled with in-depth electrochemical analysis and surface characterization, can reveal the dynamic reversible/irreversible changes of OER catalysts during operation, facilitating the understanding of the key parameters governing the oxygen evolution reaction.⁴⁻⁷ In addition, a special focus will be placed on best practices to generate reproducible and reliable operando spectroscopic data.⁸

Overall, the information gained from the presented studies represents a further step in the understanding of the key physicochemical properties of OER catalysts and their reaction mechanism.

REFERENCES

1. E. Fabbri and T. J. Schmidt, *ACS Catalysis*, **2018**, *8*, 9765-9774.

2. E. Fabbri, M. Nachtegaal, X. Cheng, T. Binninger, B. Kim, J. Durst, F. Bozza, T. Graule, N. Danilocic, K. E. Ayers and T. J. Schmidt, *Nature Materials*, **2017**, 16, 925–931.

3. A. Grimaud, O. Diaz-Morales., B. Han, W. T. Hong, Y. Lee, L. Giordano, K. A. Stoerzinger, M. T. M. Koper and Yang Shao-Horn, *Nature Chem*, **2017**, 9, 457–465.

4. C. E. Beall, E. Fabbri, N. Diklić, D. Aegerter, S. Yüzbasi, A. H. Clark, T. Graule, M. Nachtegaal and T. J. Schmidt, *Energy & Environmental Materials*, **2024**, 7(5), e12737.

5. J. Linke, T. Rohrbach, A. H. Clark, M. Andrzejewski, N. P. M. Casati, M. Ranocchiari, T. J. Schmidt, E. Fabbri, **2025**, under revision

6. J. Huang, N. Hales, A. H. Clark, S. Yüzbasi, C. N. Borca, T. Huthwelker, T. J. Schmidt and E. Fabbri, *Advanced Energy Materials*, **2024**, 14(11), 2303529.

7. J. Huang, C.N. Borca, T. Huthwelker, N.S.Yüzbasi , D. Baster, M. El Kazzi, C.W. Schneider CW, T. J. Schmidt and E.Fabbri , *Nat Commun*, **2024**, 15, 3067.

8. A.H. Clark, T.J. Schmidt TJ, E. Fabbri, *Nature Sustainability*, **2024**; 7, 688-691.